

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/728,229	12/03/2003	Carlos Gonzalez	SNDK.234US1	7481	
36257 75	590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP			PORTKA, GARY J		
655 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SCO, CA 94111		2188		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/728,229	GONZALEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary J Portka	2188				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20 and 27-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-33</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 041705				

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229 Page 2

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 15 has been amended, claims 21-26 have been canceled, and claims 27 have been added by Applicant. Claims 15-20 and 27-33 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 3. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As to claim 27, the phrase "that are simultaneously erased" is unclear since it is not apparent whether it refers to "cells" of a group, or to the "groups" (as the language appears). The phrase "configured to individually store" is likewise unclear since it is not apparent whether it refers to "cells" or "groups". Further, it is unclear how a memory may be considered as "configured to store a given number of units of user data with overhead data", when the data is not stored as such. That is. the claim might be interpreted that some action is taken in configuring a memory to store some number of user and overhead data units, and then overrides this configuration with a different configuration storing more units of only overhead data. However, it is not apparent from the specification what action is taken for the first configuring (user data with overhead data), and thus it is suggested that the language might also be interpreted as simply the storing of the second configuration (of user data separately from overhead data) since the memory never actually stores in the first

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229 Page 3

Art Unit: 2188

configuration, and thus it is not apparent how that limitation is intended to define the claim. Claims 28-33 incorporate the limitations of 27 by dependency.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 27 and 33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,684,289 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons following. First, Examiner notes that the parent Application had been restricted into two groups, the first having "sectors programmed across the boundaries of pages" was elected therein. The second, having "overhead data stored in different blocks than user data" was canceled and entered into the present application. However, in the parent case the originally elected claims (1-14) were canceled in favor of new claims which eventually issued. These new claims deleted the limitation "sectors programmed across the boundaries of pages" and substituted therefor basically "programming a number of units of user data

Art Unit: 2188

that exceed a number of program units by using some cells provided for storing overhead data to store user data", which is encompassed by the present application claimed limitation of basically "storing a number of units of user data without overhead data that is greater than a given number of program units with user data and overhead data". That is, "storing user data without overhead data" as claimed is anticipated by "using some cells provided for storing overhead data to store user data" as in the patent, since if cells configured to store overhead data are instead stored with user data then at least some user data must be stored without it's associated overhead data. The additional limitation that "overhead data is stored in cells distinct from those storing user data" as presently claimed is anticipated by the patent claim 2. Present claim 33 is anticipated by the patent claim 5.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229 Page 5

Art Unit: 2188

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary J Portka whose telephone number is (571) 272-4211. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary J Portka

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2188

April 18, 2005